

Fordham Law School

## FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History

---

Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions

Parole Administrative Appeal Documents

---

December 2020

### Administrative Appeal Decision - Hamilton, Denaro (2019-02-27)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad>

---

#### Recommended Citation

"Administrative Appeal Decision - Hamilton, Denaro (2019-02-27) 2019-02-27" (2020). Parole Information Project

<https://ir.lawnet.fordham.edu/aad/109>

This Parole Document is brought to you for free and open access by the Parole Administrative Appeal Documents at FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. It has been accepted for inclusion in Parole Administrative Appeal Decisions by an authorized administrator of FLASH: The Fordham Law Archive of Scholarship and History. For more information, please contact [tmelnick@law.fordham.edu](mailto:tmelnick@law.fordham.edu).

STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

**ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL DECISION NOTICE**

**Name:** Hamilton, Denaro

**Facility:** Upstate CF

**NYSID:** [REDACTED]

**Appeal  
Control No.:** 12-049-18 B

**DIN:** 17-R-0969

Appearances: Denaro Hamilton 17R0969  
Upstate Correctional Facility  
Box 2000  
309 Bare Hill Road  
Malone, New York 12953

Decision appealed: November 2018 decision, denying discretionary release and imposing a hold of 12-months.

Board Member(s)  
who participated: Drake, Crangle

Papers considered: Appellant's Letter-brief received December 11, 2018

Appeals Unit Review: Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and Recommendation

Records relied upon: Pre-Sentence Investigation Report, Parole Board Report, Interview Transcript, Parole Board Release Decision Notice (Form 9026), COMPAS instrument, Offender Case Plan.


Final Determination: The undersigned determine that the decision appealed is hereby:

  
Commissioner

☒ Affirmed ☐ Vacated, remanded for de novo interview ☐ Modified to \_\_\_\_\_

  
Commissioner

☐ Affirmed ☐ Vacated, remanded for de novo interview ☐ Modified to \_\_\_\_\_

  
Commissioner

☐ Affirmed ☐ Vacated, remanded for de novo interview ☐ Modified to \_\_\_\_\_

**If the Final Determination is at variance with Findings and Recommendation of Appeals Unit, written reasons for the Parole Board's determination must be annexed hereto.**

This Final Determination, the related Statement of the Appeals Unit's Findings and the separate findings of the Parole Board, if any, were mailed to the Inmate and the Inmate's Counsel, if any, on 2/27/19.

STATE OF NEW YORK – BOARD OF PAROLE

**APPEALS UNIT FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATION**

**Name:** Hamilton, Denaro

**DIN:** 17-R-0969

**Facility:** Upstate CF

**AC No.:** 12-049-18 B

**Findings:** (Page 1 of 1)

---

Appellant challenges the November 2018 determination of the Board, denying release and imposing a 12-month hold. Appellant raises only one issue. Appellant claims the Board decision is based upon erroneous information. Specifically, he pled to two charges only, both of which are non-violent, and all other charges were dismissed.

The Board decision is not based upon any erroneous information. The decision expressly states appellant is guilty of only two crimes, and repeats the facts as found in the Pre-sentence Investigation Report. The Board is mandated to consider the report and is entitled to rely on the information contained in the report. Executive Law § 259-i(2)(c)(A); 9 N.Y.C.R.R. § 8002.2(d)(7)<sup>1</sup>; Matter of Carter v. Evans, 81 A.D.3d 1031, 1031, 916 N.Y.S.2d 291, 293 (3d Dept.), lv. denied, 16 N.Y.3d 712, 923 N.Y.S.2d 416 (2011). See also Matter of Dolan v. New York State Bd. of Parole, 122 A.D.3d 1058, 1059, 995 N.Y.S.2d 850, 852 (3d Dept. 2014) (finding no “indication that respondent erroneously considered petitioner’s presentence investigation report, given that petitioner did not timely challenge the accuracy of any of the information in that report”), lv. denied, 24 N.Y.3d 915, 4 N.Y.S.3d 601 (2015).

**Recommendation:** Affirm.

---

<sup>1</sup> For interviews conducted prior to the 2017 amendments, the provision was set forth in 9 N.Y.C.R.R. § 8002.3(a)(9).